

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

10 STEVEN J. BANK, )  
11 Plaintiff(s), ) 2:15-cv-01213-APG-NJK  
12 vs. )  
13 LAS VEGAS JUSTICE COURT, et al., )  
14 Defendant(s). )  
15 \_\_\_\_\_ )

16 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's Order  
17 (Docket No. 3). Plaintiff filed an incomplete Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* on June 25,  
18 2015. Docket No. 1. As a result, the Court could not determine whether Plaintiff qualified to  
19 proceed *in forma pauperis*. On July 2, 2015, the Court entered an Order denying Plaintiff's  
20 Application without prejudice, directing the Clerk of Court to mail Plaintiff a new application, and  
21 allowing Plaintiff to file a completed application or pay the \$400 filing fee no later than August 3,  
22 2015. *See* Docket No. 3. The Order advised Plaintiff that failure to timely comply could result in  
23 a dismissal of the action. Plaintiff has not complied or requested an extension of time in which to  
24 comply.

25 | . . .

26 | . . .

27 | Page

28

1 || Accordingly,

2           **IT IS RECOMMENDED** that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice to Plaintiff's  
3 ability to commence a new action in which he either pays the appropriate filing fee in full or submits  
4 a completed application to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

5           **IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED** that the Clerk of the Court enter judgment  
6 accordingly.

## **NOTICE**

8        These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge  
9 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after  
10 being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with  
11 the court. Pursuant to Local Rule of Practice (LR) IB 3-2(a), any party wishing to object to the  
12 findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge shall file and serve *specific written objections*  
13 together with points and authorities in support of those objections, within fourteen days of the date  
14 of service of the findings and recommendations. The document should be captioned “Objections  
15 to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” The parties are advised that failure to file  
16 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s Order.  
17 *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). The points and authorities filed in support of the  
18 specific written objections are subject to the page limitations found in LR 7-4.

19 || Dated: August 10, 2015

~~NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge~~